DEPARTMENT OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY INDUSTRIAL TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT INSTITUTE

DOCUMENT CONTROL FORM

NEW DOCUMENT	REVISION	N	CANCELLATION
		DC	CF No
Process Owner:(print name	and signature)	Date Requested:	
Division:			
Document Code:			
Title:			
Previous Revision No.:	No	ew Revision No.:	
Page(s) to be Revised:	Ef	fective Date:	
Details of Conception or Revision:			
Remarks:			
Reviewed by:	_	Date:	
Approved by:		Date: _	
To be filled out by the Document Custodian			
Recorded by: Document Co	ustodian (signature)	Date:	

Form: DC-F1 Issue: Nov. 2011



DEPARTMENT OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY INDUSTRIAL TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT INSTITUTE

ISSUANCE & WITHDRAWAL OF DOCUMENTS

ITEM	DO	DCUMENT	REV.	EFFECTIVITY	COPY	COPY	COPY	ISSUED	ТО	WITHDRAWN	I FROM
Ĭ	CODE	TITLE	NO.	DATE	HOLDER	NO.	NAME AND SIGNATURE	DATE	NAME AND SIGNATURE	DATE	

Form: DC-F2 Issue: Nov. 2011



SUPPLIER PERFORMANCE RATING SHEET

Name of Suppl	ier:	Period Covered:				
	5	4	3	2	1	
CRITERIA	EXCELLENT	VERY GOOD	SATISFACTORY	FAIR	POOR	
	☐ Three (3) to five	☐ One (1) to	☐ On-time delivery	☐ One (1) to	☐ More than five	
DELIVERY	(5) days before the agreed	two (2) days before the	based on agreed	Five (5) days delay based	(5) days delay based	
Ability to meet	delivery schedule	agreed	schedule	on agreed	on the agreed	
delivery schedule	•	delivery		delivery	delivery	
Corrodato		schedule		schedule	schedule	
QUALITY	□ No rejects on delivery	☐ With one (1) to two (2)	☐ With three (3) to four (4) rejected	☐ With five (5) or more	□ Rejected Delivery	
	delivery	rejected items	items	rejected	Delivery	
Quality of Service/		,		items		
Product						
	☐ Complete	☐ With one (1)	☐ With three (3) to	☐ With five (5)	☐ With more	
COMPLETE-	delivery	to two (2)	four (4)	undelivered	than five (5)	
<u>NESS</u>		undelivered items on the	undelivered items on the	items on the agreed	undelivered items on the	
Cufficient		agreed	agreed delivery	delivery	agreed	
Sufficient Quantity		delivery	schedule	schedule	delivery	
	T Mil	schedule			schedule	
HANDLING COMPLAINT	☐ With prompt action	☐ Action taken two (2) to	☐ Action taken four (4) to five (5)	☐ Action taken more than	☐ No action taken	
COMIT LAINT	action	three (3)	days after	five (5) days	taken	
Mobility to		days after	Claim Report	after Claim		
Complaint		Claim Report		Report		
4 FT F D O 4 1 F O	☐ Could provide	☐ Could provide	☐ Could provide	☐ Could provide	☐ Could provide	
AFTER-SALES SERVICE	service & parts three (3) to five	service & parts one (1)	service & parts two (2) to three	service & parts at least	service & parts six (6)	
	(5) years beyond	to two (2)	(3) years	one (1) year	months	
Ability to provide parts &	warranty period	years beyond	warranty period	warranty	warranty	
services during		warranty			period	
and after		period				
warranty period						
GEN. AVE.	FINAL RATING	Where: E (Excelle	ent) = 5.0		PASSING MARK	
SCORE		VG (Very	Good) = Belo	ow 5.0 to 4.0		
		S (Satisfa	actory) = Belo	ow 4.0 to 3.0	3	
		F (Fair)	- · ·	ow 3.0 to 2.0	3	
		P (Poor)		ow 2.0		
		. (. 55.)				
FINAL REMARI						
☐ LISTED	•	he Master List of E	, ,			
□ CONDITION	•	hree times below I	,			
☐ DELISTED			the list of approved/a		5)	
	NOTE: May	apply for re-accred	litation after three mo	onths has elapsed.		
PREPARED BY		DATE:	APPROVED BY:		DATE:	
Adm. Off	icer V/PPMS		BAC-Cha	airman		
(Signature Ov	er Printed Name)		(Signature Over	Printed Name)		

PO NO.	DELIVERY			QUALITY	COMPLETE- NESS	HANDLING COMPLAINT	AFTER- SALES	AVE.	
	EXPTD	ACTUAL	RATING		NE35		SERVICE	SCORE	
	1	1							
	1								
	+	1							
	1								
	†								
	1	1							
	1								
	1	-							
	 								
ANNUAI	AVE. SC	ORE						GEN. AVE. SCORE	
orm: ADM-PPN	16-E3				<u> </u>				



DEPARTMENT OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY INDUSTRIAL TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT INSTITUTE

EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE RECORD

Equipment Name	:
Property Number	:
Type/Model Number	:
Serial Number	:
Location	:

Date	Operation Performed	Remarks	Performed by
	-		

Form: ITDI-F19 Issue: Nov. 2011

· C	DEPARTMENT OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY
	INDUSTRIAL TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT INSTITUTE

EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE SCHEDULE													
YEAR:													
Property Number	Equipment Name	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May	Jun.	Jul.	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.
												<u> </u>	
												<u> </u>	-
												<u> </u>	1
												1	-
												1	1
												<u> </u>	<u> </u>
												<u> </u>	<u> </u>
												<u> </u>	<u> </u>
												 	1
												<u> </u>	
Prepared by :	Date:			Legen	d:								
Approved by :	Date:			Planr	ned C	∠ c₀	mpleted	\boxtimes					

Form: ITDI-F20 Issue: Nov. 2011

NONCONFORMITY & CORRECTIVE ACTION REPORT (NCAR)

INDUSTRIAL TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT INSTITUTE

Quality Management System

RELEVANT FUNCTION:	INITIATOR:	CONTROL NO.:	DATE:		
Type of Nonconformities (NC): (check where applicable)	CLASSIFICATION:	RELEVANT CLAUSE:			
Internal External Audit Finding Complaints from Customer & Interested Parties Outputs from Management Review	Systems Nonconformities Not Covered By In Relevant QMS Documents and Records Process measurements/outputs from data ar	Objective	ncompliance es, Targets and Programs e or Not Met As Planned		
DESCRIPTION OF NONCONFORMITIES (NC):					
Advanded and Du	Deter				
	Date:		-		
IMMEDIATE CORRECTION ACTION (short-term	n action to eliminate NC):				
Done by: Date:	Reviewed/Approved by:		Date:		
Done by: Date: RESULT OF INVESTIGATION / CAUSES OF No		gned Auditor on or before			
,		gned Auditor on or before			
,		gned Auditor on or before			
,		gned Auditor on or before			
,		gned Auditor on or before			
,		gned Auditor on or before			
,		gned Auditor on or before			
RESULT OF INVESTIGATION / CAUSES OF No	ONCONFORMITIES (NC): (Return to Assi	gned Auditor on or before	Date:		
RESULT OF INVESTIGATION / CAUSES OF N	ONCONFORMITIES (NC): (Return to Assi)Date:		
RESULT OF INVESTIGATION / CAUSES OF No	ONCONFORMITIES (NC): (Return to Assi	RESPONSIBLE PER	Date:		
RESULT OF INVESTIGATION / CAUSES OF No	ONCONFORMITIES (NC): (Return to Assi	RESPONSIBLE PER	Date:		
RESULT OF INVESTIGATION / CAUSES OF No	ONCONFORMITIES (NC): (Return to Assi	RESPONSIBLE PER	Date:		
RESULT OF INVESTIGATION / CAUSES OF No	ONCONFORMITIES (NC): (Return to Assi	RESPONSIBLE PER	Date:		
RESULT OF INVESTIGATION / CAUSES OF No	ONCONFORMITIES (NC): (Return to Assi	RESPONSIBLE PER	Date:		
RESULT OF INVESTIGATION / CAUSES OF No	ONCONFORMITIES (NC): (Return to Assi	RESPONSIBLE PER	Date:		
RESULT OF INVESTIGATION / CAUSES OF No	Reviewed/Approved by: Reviewed/Approved by: Reviewed/Approved by:	RESPONSIBLE PER	Date:		

Form: QMS-F3 Issue: Nov. 2011

FOLLOW-UP RESULTS

DATE	REMARKS	STATUS	SIGNATURE (Sign Over Printed Name)
		1 st Follow-up Implemented Not Implemented	
		2 nd Follow-up ☐ Implemented ☐ Not Implemented ☐ Open (Not Effective) ☐ Closed (Effective)	
		3rd Follow-up ☐ Implemented ☐ Not Implemented ☐ Open (Not Effective) ☐ Closed (Effective)	
		4th Follow-up Implemented Not Implemented Open (Not Effective) Closed (Effective)	
		5th Follow-up Implemented Not Implemented Open (Not Effective) Closed (Effective)	
Noted By:			
_	QMR		Page of Pages

TRAINING PROGRAM IMPACT ASSESSMENT FORM

Name of Employee:		Divisi	on/Secti	on:			
Title of Training Program Attended:							
Date Conducted:							
INSTRUCTION: Please check (✓) in the a training program in a scal and, 1 – Strongly Disagre	e of 1-5 (where 5 – St						employee in attending the agree or disagree; 2 – Disagree;
IMPACT/BENEFITS GAIN	NED	1	2	3	4	5	Competency Improvement / Intervention
The employee's performance became m shown with no/less commitment of mista The employee has improved his/her abil	ikes on work.						
and recommendations. 3. He/she has developed new system or in							
system through contributing new ideas. 4. His/her existing skills have been upgrad							
5. The employee has applied new skills in							
his/her work. 6. The employee became more proud and tasks.	confident in his/her						
7. The employee accepted and performed responsibility.	higher/greater						
He/she transferred the knowledge and s conduct of workshop or demonstration to							
Comments/Suggestions:							
Please list down other training program	n/s he/she might nee	ed in th	e future	9.			
Rated by:	Signa	ture					Date
(Immediate Supervisor's Name)							

Form: SDC-F3 Issue: August 2015



SUPPLIER PERFORMANCE RATING SHEET

Name of Suppl	ier:	Period Covered:				
	5	4	3	2	1	
CRITERIA	EXCELLENT	VERY GOOD	SATISFACTORY	FAIR	POOR	
	☐ Three (3) to five	☐ One (1) to	☐ On-time delivery	☐ One (1) to	☐ More than five	
DELIVERY	(5) days before the agreed	two (2) days before the	based on agreed	Five (5) days delay based	(5) days delay based	
Ability to meet	delivery schedule	agreed	schedule	on agreed	on the agreed	
delivery schedule	•	delivery		delivery	delivery	
Corrodato		schedule		schedule	schedule	
QUALITY	□ No rejects on delivery	☐ With one (1) to two (2)	☐ With three (3) to four (4) rejected	☐ With five (5) or more	□ Rejected Delivery	
	delivery	rejected items	items	rejected	Delivery	
Quality of Service/		,		items		
Product						
	☐ Complete	☐ With one (1)	☐ With three (3) to	☐ With five (5)	☐ With more	
COMPLETE-	delivery	to two (2)	four (4)	undelivered	than five (5)	
<u>NESS</u>		undelivered items on the	undelivered items on the	items on the agreed	undelivered items on the	
Cufficient		agreed	agreed delivery	delivery	agreed	
Sufficient Quantity		delivery	schedule	schedule	delivery	
	T Mil	schedule			schedule	
HANDLING COMPLAINT	☐ With prompt action	☐ Action taken two (2) to	☐ Action taken four (4) to five (5)	☐ Action taken more than	☐ No action taken	
COMIT LAINT	action	three (3)	days after	five (5) days	taken	
Mobility to		days after	Claim Report	after Claim		
Complaint		Claim Report		Report		
4 FT F D O 4 1 F O	☐ Could provide	☐ Could provide	☐ Could provide	☐ Could provide	☐ Could provide	
AFTER-SALES SERVICE	service & parts three (3) to five	service & parts one (1)	service & parts two (2) to three	service & parts at least	service & parts six (6)	
	(5) years beyond	to two (2)	(3) years	one (1) year	months	
Ability to provide parts &	warranty period	years beyond	warranty period	warranty	warranty	
services during		warranty			period	
and after		period				
warranty period						
GEN. AVE.	FINAL RATING	Where: E (Excelle	ent) = 5.0		PASSING MARK	
SCORE		VG (Very	Good) = Belo	ow 5.0 to 4.0		
		S (Satisfa	actory) = Belo	ow 4.0 to 3.0	3	
		F (Fair)	- · ·	ow 3.0 to 2.0	3	
		P (Poor)		ow 2.0		
		. (. 55.)				
FINAL REMARI						
☐ LISTED	•	he Master List of E	, ,			
□ CONDITION	•	hree times below I	,			
☐ DELISTED			the list of approved/a		5)	
	NOTE: May	apply for re-accred	litation after three mo	onths has elapsed.		
PREPARED BY		DATE:	APPROVED BY:		DATE:	
Adm. Off	icer V/PPMS		BAC-Cha	airman		
(Signature Ov	er Printed Name)		(Signature Over	Printed Name)		

PO NO.	DELIVERY			QUALITY	COMPLETE-	HANDLING COMPLAINT	AFTER- SALES	AVE.
	EXPTD	ACTUAL	RATING		NESS		SERVICE	SCORE
	1							
	+	1						
	1							
	1							
	1	-						
	 							
ANNUAL AVE. SCORE							GEN. AVE. SCORE	
orm: ADM-PPN	1S-F3							